

United States Patent and Trademark Office



R

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TI DIO DATE	THE COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY.		
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,504 07/27/2001	Karl-Heinz Schuster	(Z) 99069 P US	6246
7590 06/08/200	4	EXAM	INER
M. Robert Kestenbaum		RAIZEN, DEBORAH A	
11011 Bermuda Dunes NE Albuquerque, NM 87111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2873	
		DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Application No.			
Notice of Abandonment	09/917,504	SCHUSTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Deborah A. Raizen	2873		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
This application is abandoned in view of:				
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note; period for reply (including a total extension of time of) 	Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).				
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.				
7. 🔲 The reason(s) below:				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				

Continuation of Substance of Interview: Laurie Kestenbaum called the examiner to say that a response to the non-final rejection of May 29, 2003, was filed in November, 2003. However, she said that they do not have a receipt postcard. She asked if she could submit the amendment directly to the examiner. The examiner gave her the RightFax number and indicated that she would route it to the official fax number. The examiner is now sending the attached notice of abandonment because she cannot consider evidence that the case is not abandoned. As of June 4, 2004, examiner has not received a fax from applicant's representative.

Georgia Epps

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800